## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Aljuan C. Hixon,			
V.	Plaint	iff,	Civil No. 06-1548 (RHK/JSM) ORDER
City of Golden Valley, et al.	,		
	Defer	ndants.	
The above-entitled action came on for trial before the undersigned and a jury on			
September 6-13, 2007. On September 13, 2007, the jury returned its Special Verdicts as			
follows:			
EXCESSIVE FORCE – McCARVILLE			
1. Did Defendant Christine McCarville use excessive force when			
arresting Plaintiff Aljuan Hixon on April 2, 2005?			
ANSWER:	Yes	No _	NO
EXCESSIVE FORCE – HERNANDEZ			
2. Did Defendant Mario Hernandez use excessive force when			
arresting Plaintiff Aljuan Hixon on April 2, 2005?			
ANSWER:	Yes <u>YES</u>	N	No
If you answered "Yes" to either Question 1 or Question 2, answer			
Questions 3 through 5. If you answered "No" to both Question 1 and			

Question 2, do not answer any other questions; your deliberations are complete and you should sign and date this Special Verdict Form.

## **COMPENSATORY DAMAGES**

3. What amount of money will fairly and adequately compensate

Plaintiff Aljuan Hixon for damages caused by the incident on April 2, 2005?

(See Jury Instruction Nos. 9 and 10 for a description of, and means of calculating, compensatory damages.)

Amount of Compensatory Damages

\$ 328,000

## **PUNITIVE DAMAGES**

You may award punitive damages against either Defendant if you have first found that Defendant's conduct in arresting Plaintiff Aljuan Hixon was "malicious or recklessly indifferent" to Plaintiff's right to be free from excessive force. (See Jury Instruction No. 11 for the means of calculating punitive damages.)

- 4. Defendant Christine McCarville: \$ none (stating the amount of punitive damages or, if none, write the word "none").
- 5. Defendant Mario Hernandez: \$\_\_\_450,000\_\_ (stating the amount of punitive damages or, if none, write the word "none").

\* \* \*

Based on the foregoing, IT IS ORDERED that the jury's Special Verdicts are ADOPTED

by the Court as its own. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on or before September 26,

2007, the parties shall jointly file a proposed Judgment in this matter. If the parties are

unable to agree on the form or contents of the proposed Judgment, then they shall each file

their respective proposed Judgments with the Court on or before September 28, 2007.

Dated: September 18, 2007 s/Richard H. Kyle

RICHARD H. KYLE

United States District Judge